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NoSQL Databases

Aggregate Databases: Key-value, Document, Column Family Graph Databases
Aggregate Data Models

- **Aggregate** – a collection of related objects treated as a unit
  - Particularly for data manipulation and consistency management

- **Aggregate-oriented database** – a database comprised of aggregate data structures
  - Supports atomic manipulation of a single aggregate at a time
  - Good for use in clustered storage systems (scaling out)
    - Aggregates make natural units for replication and fragmentation/sharding
  - Aggregates match up nicely with in-memory data structures
  - Use a key or ID to look up an aggregate record

- An **aggregate-ignorant** data model has no concept of how its components can aggregate together
  - Good when data will be queried in multiple ways
  - Not so good for clusters
    - Need to minimize data accesses, and including aggregates in the data helps with this
Aggregate Database Example: An Initial Relational Model

Figure 2.1. Data model oriented around a relational database (using UML notation [Fowler UML])
Figure 2.3. An aggregate data model

// in customers
{
  "id":1,
  "name": "Martin",
  "billingAddress": [{ "city": "Chicago" }]
}

// in orders
{
  "id": 99,
  "customerId": 1,
  "orderItems": [
    {
      "productId": 27,
      "price": 32.45,
      "productName": "NoSQL Distilled"
    },
    {
      "shippingAddress": [{ "city": "Chicago" }]
    },
    "orderPayment": [
      {
        "ccinfo": "1000-1000-1000-1000",
        "txnId": "abelif879rft",
        "billingAddress": { "city": "Chicago" }
      },
      {
        "ccinfo": "1000-1000-1000-1000",
        "txnId": "abelif879rft",
        "billingAddress": { "city": "Chicago" }
      }
    ]
  }
}
Aggregate Database Example: Another Aggregate Model

```javascript
// in customers
{
  "customer": {
    "id": 1,
    "name": "Martin",
    "billingAddress": [{"city": "Chicago"}]
  },
  "orders": [
    {
      "id": 99,
      "customerId": 1,
      "orderItems": [
        {
          "productId": 27,
          "price": 32.45,
          "productName": "NoSQL Distilled"
        }
      ],
      "shippingAddress": [{"city": "Chicago"}]
    }
  ],
  "orderPayment": [
    {
      "ccinfo": "1000-1000-1000-1000",
      "txnId": "abelif879rft",
      "billingAddress": [{"city": "Chicago"}]
    }
  ]
}
```

Figure 2.4. Embed all the objects for customer and the customer’s orders
Aggregate-Oriented Databases

- **Key-value databases**
  - Stores data that is opaque to the database
    - The database cannot see the structure of records, just has a key to access a record
    - Application needs to deal with this
  - Allows flexibility regarding what is stored (i.e. text or binary data)

- **Document databases**
  - Stores data whose structure is visible to the database
    - Imposes limitations on what can be stored
    - Allows more flexible access to data (i.e. partial records) via querying

- Both key-value and document databases consist of aggregate records accessed by ID values

- **Column-family databases**
  - Two levels of access to aggregates (and hence, two pars to the “key” to access an aggregate’s data)
    - ID – to look up aggregate record
    - Column name – either a label for a value (name) or a key to a list entry (order id)
  - Columns are grouped into column families
Key-Value Databases

- Key-value store is a simple hash table
  - Records access via *key* (ID)
    - Akin to a primary key for relational database records
    - Quickest (or only) way to access a record
    - *Values* can be of any type -- database does not care
      - Like blob data type in relational database
    - *Bucket* – namespace used to segment keys
      - Shows up as (sometimes implicit) prefix or suffix to key

- Operations
  - Get a value for a given key
  - Set (or overwrite or append) a value for a given key
  - Delete a key and its associated value
Key-Value Database Features

• Consistency only applies in the context of a single key/value pair
  • Need strategy to handle distributed key-value pairs – i.e. newest write wins, all writes reported and client resolves the conflict

• No ACID transactions because of performance requirements over distributed cluster
  • Weaker transaction consistency can be asserted by requiring that a certain number of nodes (*quorum*) get the write

• Scale by both fragmentation and replication
  • Shard by key values (using a uniform function)
  • Replicas should be available in case a shard fails
    • Otherwise all reads and writes to the unavailable shard fail
Interacting with Key-Value Databases

- Applications can only query by key, not by values in the data

- Design of key is important
  - Must be unique across the entire database
  - Bucket can provide an implicit top-level namespace

- How and what data gets stored is managed entirely at the application level
  - Single key for related data structures
    - Key incorporates identification data (i.e. user_<sessionID>)
      - Data can include various nested data structures (i.e. user data including session, profile, cart info)
    - All data is set and retrieved at once
  - Different kinds of aggregates all stored in one bucket
    - Increases chance of key conflicts (i.e. profile and session data with same ID)
  - Multiple keys for related data structures
    - Key incorporates name of object being stored (i.e. user_<sessionID>_profile)
    - Multiple targeted fetches needed to retrieve related data
    - Decreases chance of key conflicts (aggregates have their own specific namespaces)

- Expiration times can be assigned to key-value pairs (good for storing transient data)
Key-Value Aggregate Examples

Figure 8.1. Storing all the data in a single bucket

Figure 8.2. Change the key design to segment the data in a single bucket.
Using Key-Value Databases

• Use key-value databases for…
  • Data accessed via a unique key (i.e. session, user profile, shopping cart, etc.)
  • Transient data
  • Caching

• Don’t use key-value databases for…
  • Relationships among data
  • Multi-operation transactions
  • Querying by data (value instead of key)
  • Operations on sets of records
Document Databases

- Store of documents with keys to access them
  - Similar to key-value databases except…
  - Can see and dynamically manipulate the structure of the documents
    - Often structured as JSON (textual) data
    - Each document can have its own structure (non-uniform)
    - Each document is (automatically) assigned an ID value (/_id)

- Consistency and transactions apply to single documents

- Replication and sharding are by document

- Queries to documents can be formatted as JSON
  - Able to return partial documents
## Document Database Example

```sql
// in order collection
{
  "customerId": 12345,
  "orderId": 67890,
  "orderDate": "2012-12-06",
  "items": [{
    "product": {
      "id": 112233,
      "name": "Refactoring",
      "price": "15.99"
    },
    "discount": "10%"
  },
  "product": {
    "id": 223344,
    "name": "NoSQL Distilled",
    "price": "24.99"
  },
  "discount": "3.00",
  "promo-code": "cybermonday"
},
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SQL</th>
<th>Document Database Query</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>select * from order</strong></td>
<td><code>db.order.find()</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **select * from order**   | `db.order.find({
                        "customerId": 12345
                      })` |
| **select orderId, orderDate** from order where customerId = 12345 | `db.order.find({
                      "customerId": 12345,
                      "orderId": 1,"orderDate": 1
                    })` |
| **select * from order o join orderItem oi on o.orderId = oi.orderID join product p on oi.productId = p.Id where p.name like ‘%Refactoring%’** | `db.order.find({
                      "items.product.name": "/Refactoring/"
                    })` |
Using Document Databases

• Use document databases for…
  • Event logging – central store for different kinds of events with various attributes
  • Content management or blogging platforms
  • Web analytics stores
  • E-commerce applications

• Do not use document databases for…
  • Transactions across multiple documents (records)
  • Ad hoc cross-document queries
Column Family Databases

- **Structure of data records**
  - Each record indexed by a key
  - Columns grouped into column families (like RDBMS tables)

- **Additional mechanisms to assist with data management**
  - Key space – top-level container for a certain kind of data (kind of like a schema in RDBMS)
    - Configuration parameters and operations can apply to a key space
      - i.e. number of replicas, data repair operations
    - Columns are specified when a key space is created, but new ones can be added at any time, to only those rows they pertain to

- **Data access**
  - Get, set, delete operations
  - Query language (i.e. CQL – Cassandra Query Language)
Column-Family Database Example

Figure 2.5. Representing customer information in a column-family structure
Column Family Database Example

CREATE COLUMNFAMILY Customer (  
  KEY varchar PRIMARY KEY,  
  name varchar,  
  city varchar,  
  web varchar);  

INSERT INTO Customer (KEY, name, city, web)  
  VALUES ('mfowler',  
    'Martin Fowler',  
    'Boston',  
    'www.martinfowler.com');  

SELECT * FROM Customer;  

SELECT name, web FROM Customer WHERE city='Boston'
Using Column Family Databases

- Use column family databases for…
  - Event logging
  - Content management and blogging platforms
  - Counters
  - Expiring data

- Do not use column family databases for…
  - Systems requiring ACID transactions
  - Systems requiring ad-hoc aggregate queries
Relationships in Aggregate Databases

• Aggregates contain ID attributes to related aggregates
  • Require multiple database accesses to traverse relationships
  • One to lookup ID(s) of related aggregate(s) in main aggregate
  • One to retrieve each of the related aggregates
  • Many NoSQL databases provide mechanisms to make relationships visible to the database (to make link-walking easier)

• Updates to relationships require the application to maintain consistency since atomicity is limited to each aggregate

• Aggregate databases become awkward when it is necessary to navigate around many aggregates

• Graph databases – small nodes connected by many edges
  • Make navigating complex relationships fast
  • Linking nodes is done at time of insert, and not at query time
Data Management Scale with Aggregate Databases

- Different aggregate data models have differing data management capabilities
  - Key-value databases
    - Opaque data store
    - Almost no database involvement with managing data
  - Document databases
    - Transparent data store
    - Some facilities in databases to administer data (partial record queries, indexes)
  - Column family databases
    - Transparent data store and dynamic schema
    - Data management constructs (key spaces, query languages)
  - Relational databases
    - Static uniform schema
    - Database manages the data (integrity constraints, security, etc.)
Graph Databases

- Excel at modeling relationships between entities
- **Terminology**
  - *Node* – an entity or record in the database
  - *Edge* – a directed relationship connecting two entities
    - Two nodes can have multiple relationships between them
  - *Property* – attribute on a node or edge
- **Graphs are queried via traversals**
  - Traversing multiple nodes and edges is very fast
    - Because relationships are determined when data is inserted into the database
  - Relationships (edges) are persisted just like nodes
    - Not computed at query time (as in relational databases)
Graph Database Example

Figure 3.1. An example graph structure
Graph Database Example

![Graph Database Example](image)

Figure 11.2. Relationships with properties
Graph Database Features

- Transaction support – graph can only be modified within a transaction
  - No “dangling relationships” allowed
  - Nodes can only be deleted if they have no edges connected to them

- Availability via replication

- Scaling via sharding is difficult since the graph relies heavily on the relationships between its nodes
  - Fragmentation can be done using domain knowledge (i.e. separating relationships by different geographic regions, categories, time periods, etc. – factors don’t get traversed much)
  - Traversal across shards is very expensive
Interacting with Graph Databases

- Web services / REST APIs exposed by the database
- Language-specific libraries provided by the database vendor or community

```java
// Find the names of people who like NoSQL Distilled
Node nosqlDistilled = nodeIndex.get("name", "NoSQL Distilled").getSingle();
relationships = nosqlDistilled.getRelationships(INCOMING, LIKES);
for (Relationship relationship : relationships) {
    likesNoSQLDistilled.add(relationship.getStartNode());
}
```

- Query languages – allow for expression of complex queries on the graph
  - Gremlin with Blueprints (JDBC-like) database connectors
  - Cypher (for neo4j)
Graph Database Query Language Example

- **A “select” statement in Cypher**

  
  START beginingNode = (beginning node specification)
  MATCH (relationship, pattern matches)
  WHERE (filtering condition: on data in nodes and relationships)
  RETURN (What to return: nodes, relationships, properties)
  ORDER BY (properties to order by)
  SKIP (nodes to skip from top)
  LIMIT (limit results)

- **Find the names and locations of Barbara’s friends**
  
  - **Cypher**

    START barbara = node:nodeIndex(name = "Barbara")
    MATCH (barbara)-[:FRIEND]->(friend_node)
    RETURN friend_node.name, friend_node.location

  - **Gremlin**

    g = new Neo4jGraph(’/path/to/graph/db’)
    barbara = g.idx(T,v)[[name:’Barbara’]]
    friends = barbara.out(‘friend’).map
Using Graph Databases

- Use graph databases for…
  - Connected data in link-rich domain (i.e. friends, colleagues, employees, customers, etc.)
  - Routing or dispatch applications with location data (i.e. maps, directions, distances)
  - Recommendation engines (i.e. for products, dating services, etc.)

- Don’t use graph databases for…
  - Applications where many or all data entities need to be updated at once or frequently
  - Data that needs lots of partitioning
Schema-less Databases

- Common to all NoSQL databases – also called *emergent schemas*

- Advantages
  - No need to predefine data structure
  - Easy to change structure of data as time passes
  - Good support for *non-uniform data*

- Disadvantages
  - Potentially inconsistent names and data types for a single value
    - Example: `quantity`, `Quantity`, `QUANTITY`, `qty`, `count`, `quanity` …
    - Example: `5`, `5.0`, `five`, `V` …
  - The database does not enforce these things because it has no knowledge of the *implicit schema*
  - Management of the implicit schema migrates into the application layer
    - Need to look at code to understand what data and structure is present
      - No standard location or method for implementing the logic to do this
    - What do you do if multiple applications need access to the database?
Datatabases
...of the Bible

Missionary Journeys into NoSQL
Acts 13-14
Related Issues

- Distributed Databases and Consistency with NoSQL
- Version Stamps
- Map-Reduce Pattern
Distribution Models

- Single server – simplest model, everything on one machine (or node)
- **Sharding** (fragmentation) – storing data (aggregates) across multiple nodes
  - *Auto-sharding* -- some NoSQL databases handle the logistics of sharding so that the application does not have to
- Replication – duplicate data (aggregates) over multiple nodes
  - Master-slave (primary copy) replication -- one master responsible for updates, one or more slaves to support reads
  - Peer-to-peer (multi-master) replication
    - Each node does reads and writes, and communicates its changes to other nodes
      - Eliminates any one master as a single point of failure
    - Drawbacks include complex synchronization system and inconsistency issues
      - Write-write conflicts – when two users update the same data item on separate nodes
Consistency

- Update consistency – ensuring serial database changes
  - *Pessimistic* approach – prevents conflicts from occurring (i.e. locking)
  - *Optimistic* approach – detects conflicts and sorts them out (i.e. validation)
    - Conditional update – just before update, check to see if the value has changed since last read
    - Write-write conflict resolution – automatically or manually merge the updates
  - Trade-off between safety and “liveness” (responsiveness)

- Read consistency – ensuring users read the same value for data at a given time
  - *Logical consistency* vs. *replication consistency*
  - *Sticky sessions* (session affinity) – assign a session to a given database node for all of its work to ensure *read-your-writes consistency*
Diluting the ACID

- Relaxed consistency
  - CAP Theorem – pick two of these three
    - Consistency
    - Availability – ability to read and write data to a node in the cluster
    - Partition tolerance – cluster can survive network breakage that separates it into multiple isolated partitions
  - If there is a network partition, need to trade off availability of data vs. consistency
    - Depending on the domain, it can be beneficial to balance consistency with latency (performance)
    - BASE – Basically Available, Soft state, Eventual consistency

- Relaxed durability
  - Replication durability – what happens if a replica is not available to receive updates, but still servicing traffic?
  - Do not necessarily need to contact all replicas to preserve strong consistency with replication; just a large enough quorum.
Version Stamps

• Provide a means of detecting concurrency conflicts
  • Each data item has a version stamp which gets incremented each time the item is updated
  • Before updating a data item, a process can check its version stamp to see if it has been updated since it was last read

• Implementation methods
  • Counter – requires a single master to “own” the counter
  • GUID (Guaranteed Unique ID) – can be computed by any node, but are large and cannot be compared directly
  • Hash the contents of a resource
  • Timestamp of last update – node clocks must be synchronized

• Vector stamp – set of version stamps for all nodes in a distributed system
  • Allows detection of conflicting updates on different nodes
Map-Reduce

• Design pattern to take advantage of clustered machines to do processing in parallel
  • While keeping as much work and data as possible local to a single machine

• Map function
  • Takes a single aggregate record as input
  • Outputs a set of relevant key-value pairs
    • Values can be data structures
  • Each instance of the map function is independent from all others
    • Safely parallelizable

• Reduce function
  • Takes multiple map outputs with the same key as input
  • Summarizes (or reduces) there values to a single output

• Map-reduce framework
  • Arranges for map function to be applied to pertinent documents on all nodes
  • Moves data to the location of the reduce function
  • Collects all values for a single pair and calls the reduce function on the key and value collection
  • Programmers only need to supply the map and reduce functions
Figure 7.1. A map function reads records from the database and emits key-value pairs.
Map-Reduce Example (Reduce)

Figure 7.2. A reduce function takes several key-value pairs with the same key and aggregates them into one.
## Partitioning, Combining, and Composing

- **Reduce operations use values from a single key**
  - Partitioning by key allows for parallel reduce work

- **Combinable reducer** — Reducers that have the same form for input and output can be combined into pipelines
  - Further improves parallelism and reduces the amount of data to be transferred

- **Map-reduce compositions**
  - Can be composed into pipelines in which the output of one reduce is the input to another map
  - Can be useful to store result of widely-used map-reduce calculation
    - Saved results can sometimes be updated incrementally
      - For additive combinable reducers, the existing result can be combined with new data
Reduce Partitioning Example

Figure 7.3. Partitioning allows reduce functions to run in parallel on different keys.
Figure 7.4. Combining reduces data before sending it across the network.
Further Matters

Schema Migrations
Polyglot Persistence
SQL or NoSQL
Schema Migrations

- The structure of data changes regardless of what kind of database it resides in
  - System requirements evolve and the supporting database(s) must keep pace
  - *Transition phase* – Period of time in which the old and new schema versions must be maintained in parallel

- Challenges
  - Avoid downtime of production database(s)
    - Difficult to do for large systems as DDL to alter structure often requires database object-level locks
  - Ensure database remains usable to all applications during transition phase
    - Different applications will integrate the schema changes at different times
    - Don’t cause errors
    - Don’t corrupt or lose data
  - Minimize transition phase
    - How can all data be migrated as quickly as possible?
    - Does all data need to be migrated?
Schema Changes in Relational Databases

- Challenges specific to RDBMS schema changes
  - Keep database and applications in sync
    - Schema changes applied separately to database and applications
    - Schema changes need to be applied in the correct order
  - Need to ensure that schema changes can be rolled back if there is a problem
  - Schema changes need to be applied to all environments in the same fashion
    - Development, test, staging, production

- Database migration framework can assist with this
  - Logic to execute each schema change is stored in a file which contains a version string
    - Scripts to generate initial database or take a “snapshot” of the current structure of an existing database get the initial version (if the database already exists)
    - May contain logic to upgrade and downgrade the database to/from its version
  - Migration framework is responsible for applying changes up/down to a certain version of the database in the right order
    - Integrated into the project build process so it automatically gets executed in various environments when a new version of the application is introduced there
Figure 12.3. New change 007_DiscountedPrice.sql applied to the database

```
ALTER TABLE orderitem ADD discountedprice NUMBER(18,2) NULL;
UPDATE orderitem SET discountedprice = price;
ALTER TABLE orderitem MODIFY discountedprice NOT NULL;
ALTER TABLE orderitem RENAME COLUMN price TO fullprice;
--//--@UNDO
ALTER TABLE orderitem RENAME fullprice TO price;
ALTER TABLE orderitem DROP COLUMN discountedprice;
```
Database Migration Execution Example

```
project $> ant dbupgrade
Buildfile: /project/build.xml

init:

dbupgrade:
  [dbdeploy] dbdeploy 3.0M3
  [dbdeploy] Reading change scripts from directory /project/db/migrations...
  [dbdeploy] Changes currently applied to database:
  [dbdeploy] 1.6
  [dbdeploy] Scripts available:
  [dbdeploy] 1.7
  [dbdeploy] To be applied:
  [dbdeploy] 7
  [dbdeploy] Applying #7: 007_DiscountedPrice.sql...
  [dbdeploy] -> statement 1 of 4...
  [dbdeploy] -> statement 2 of 4...
  [dbdeploy] -> statement 3 of 4...
  [dbdeploy] -> statement 4 of 4...

BUILD SUCCESSFUL
Total time: 0 seconds
project $>
```

Figure 12.4. DBDeploy upgrading the database with change number 007
Schema Changes in a NoSQL Store

- Implicit schema – the database may be “schema-less”, but the application still must manage the way data is structured

- Incremental migration – read from both schemas and gradually write changes
  - Read methodology:
    - Read the data from the new / updated field(s)
    - If the data is not in the new field(s), read it from the old ones
  - Write methodology:
    - Write data only to the new field(s)
    - Old field may be removed
    - Some data may never be migrated

- Changes to top-level aggregate structures are more difficult
  - Example: make nested order records (inside customers) into top-level aggregates
  - Application must work with both old and new structures
Incremental Migration Example

Figure 12.6. Transition period of schema changes
Polyglot Persistence

• Pick the best tool for the job
  • Different databases are designed specifically for storing and processing different types of data

• Example
  • Many e-commerce sites run entirely on a relational database
  • Alternatively:
    • Keep order processing data in the RDBMS
    • Session and shopping cart data could be separated into a key-value store
      • More transient data which can be copied to RDBMS once an order is placed
    • Customer social data could reside in a graph database
      • Designed specifically to optimize traversing relationships between data
Polyglot Persistence Example

![Diagram of Polyglot Persistence Example](image)

Figure 13.3. Example implementation of polyglot persistence
Web Service Wrappers for Data Stores

• Advantages over direct access to data store
  • Easier and cleaner to integrate the data store with multiple applications
  • Allows database structure to change without needing to update applications that use it
  • Potentially even change the database itself

• Drawbacks
  • Overhead of another layer
  • Sometimes a modified web service actually requires changing applications as well
  • Reduces this likelihood
Figure 13.5. Using services instead of talking to databases
When to Use NoSQL

- It depends on factors like…

- **Programmer productivity (easier to build)**
  - When data is mainly collected or displayed in terms of aggregates
  - When the data includes complex, nested, or hierarchical structures
  - When data has a lot of relationships (graph databases)
  - When the data is non-uniform
  - When the database logic can be encapsulated into an isolated section of the project

- **Data-access performance (faster)**
  - When data needs to be clustered (fragmented and/or replicated)
  - When aggregate data would need to be joined from multiple tables in an RDBMS
  - When complex relational data needs to be queried (graph databases)
When *Not* to Use NoSQL

- Most of the time
  - Relational databases are well-known, mature, and have lots of tools

- When the need for transactional consistency outweighs performance or productivity concerns

- When many different applications (with different developers/owners) will access the data

- When strong security measures are required at the database level to protect data
Homework 7